

# Energy suppliers forum Setting the agenda



Nigel Cornwall  
18 June 2008

cornwallenergyassociates

## Main concerns of smaller suppliers

- Liquidity in wholesale market
- “Fear of cash-out”
- Cost of new entry processes and accreditation
- Cost of credit cover
- Quality of customer data and transfer rule gaming
- Lack of competition for agency services
- Regulatory risk and governance

## Liquidity

- Too fewer sellers and lack of liquidity
- Smaller suppliers entrants find it costly and difficult to buy
- Large players more risk averse, and reluctant to deal with small suppliers
- Counter trend to most European markets
- At least this is now on the policy agenda

**We have been too ready to deflect blame onto Europe when the problem is much closer to home and requires urgent attention**

## Fear of cash-out

- Flip side of market illiquidity
- General agreement that cash-out does not meet design objectives
  - high levels of system pollution which falls on parties less able to balance
- Industry governance has inhibited rectification
- Now no prospect of reform before mid 2009

**With winter prices running at £90/MWh (more to come?) current distortions will be amplified.**

## New entry processes

- Any supplier aiming to meet domestic load of +2.5MW has to undertake entry
- IT systems and processes for data transfer have to be approved
- Supplier hub and agents
- Time consuming and costly
  - can take 3 months and costs over £0.25m
  - but can also take significantly longer and a lot more if intention is to grow

**Baptism of fire with lots of smoke**

## Credit cover

- Multiple demands:
  - for generation, networks, cash-out
  - costly and disproportionately burdensome on smaller participants
- Networks do not need such cover:
  - costs of new entrant failure relatively small
- Some activity by Ofgem and through codes:
  - need for joined up appraisal

**Smaller players have tried to bring focus and change but with very modest results**

## Agency services

- Suppliers need metering, data collection and aggregation services
- But are services fair/competitive?
  - incumbents are tying up lower cost providers and withdrawing service provision
- Many concerned about getting accurate readings at reasonable price, with good service

**Policy has been naïve. Until there is real choice, there should be requirements on former hosts to make available their service providers**

## Data and its (ab)uses

- Entrants depend on customers switching
- System provides poor data on customers
  - expensive and time-consuming to cleanse
- Customer Transfer Programme has led to some improvements
- Still real problem of strategic behaviour:
  - tactical use of objections and transfer blocking
  - reoffering and “guzumping”

**Regulatory vacillation has not helped. Is it realistic to expect incumbents to solve these issues?**

## Regulatory and governance burden

- Regulation more costly for smaller suppliers
- Supply Licence Review has helped but tip of the ice-berg
- Two examples:
  - green tariffs
  - structure of distribution charges
- Code issues and user-friendliness

**Smaller suppliers are neurotic about the regulator. They want Ofgem to do less but more of the right thing (but don't we all).**

## Conclusions

- Smaller suppliers are many and varied but:
  - some would agree with all of my concerns
  - all would agree with some of them
  - all are keen to be engaged in debate
- Action needed if new entry and smaller suppliers are to continue to provide benefits
- In practical terms clear recommendations from Berr committee for regulatory action:
  - to stimulate market liquidity especially in electricity
  - further cash-out reform
  - reduce market complexity and increase transparency

Thank you

- Nigel Cornwall
- Cornwall Energy
- T: 44 1692 407884
- [nigel@cornwallenergy.com](mailto:nigel@cornwallenergy.com)
- [www.cornwallenergy.com](http://www.cornwallenergy.com)